

Here you should summarise the aspects of the *application* you agree and/or disagree with and say why. Outline what information, local knowledge or evidence you have which supports this. Please aim to limit this section to no more than 500 words (please use extra paper if needed).

Date 5TH OCT. 2018

I would like to make the following observations regarding the DCO in place for the development of manston airfield into an airfreight hub.

1) I live close to the airport runways at Birchington some 1.5 miles from the runways, on many previous occasions I have become alarmed at the strong and obvious levels of air fuel in the local atmosphere, the odour of which is most objectionable. How this occurs, is when the aircraft were manoeuvring or taxiing, waiting for take off clearance with engines running. Air fuel is a hydrocarbon fuel similar to paraffin or kerosene. Open farmland exists close by and of course crops will also be blanketed with the fuel, This must be unhealthy for those with breathing ailments, crops must ~~be affected and will be unpleasant or even toxic to consume.~~

2) Noise pollution will be an ongoing problem with planned night flights. East Kent and Ramsgate in particular is slowly recovering from a deep recession with many new businesses and new residents, the locality to the town, these will be severely impacted by aircraft noise. Many businesses, hospitals and schools may need to be sound proofed, who will pay for any sound deadening required?

Please check that you have completed all relevant sections, otherwise you may not be able to take part in the later stages of the examination.

CONT.

Please sign your form, print your name and give today's date, below:

Signature

5TH OCTOBER 2018

MR. JOHN RICHARD THOMPSON

1) Thanet's already busy roads will need to be upgraded to cope with the extra H.G.V and fuel tankers, once again who will fund these road improvements?

2) I believe RSP have not made a sufficiently strong business case for their ambitious project, they don't appear to have any record of running freight hub project or any similar facilities.

3) There is no need for extra air freight capacity in the south east, so this project cannot be in the national interest especially as there is already under used capacity elsewhere in Heathrow or east midlands where freight facilities are underused.

In conclusion I object to this DCO proposal
to summarize.

- | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|
| 1) AIR POLLUTION | } | ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES |
| 2) NOISE POLLUTION | | |
| 3) ROADS AND HIGHWAYS | } | UPGRADES REQUIRED |
| 4) INSUFFICIENTLY ROBUST BUSINESS CASE | | |
| 5) NO NEED FOR EXTRA/NEW FREIGHT FACILITIES | | |